Repeal of Government Order re. phode fee for Darkhast lands.

Q.—172. Sri H. K. SIDDAIYA (Belur—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether according to the recent Government Order a phode fee of Rs. 20 has to be paid by the raiyat who is granted land under darkhast;

(*b*) whether they will immediately repeal this order?

A.—Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(*a*) Yes; for dry lands.

(*b*) No.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯ.—ತಾವು (ಎ) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ 2, ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಫೋಡ್ ಫೀಜಿಗಾಗಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅಷ್ಟು ಏತಕ್ಕೆ ನ್ಯಾಯವಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಅಷ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೇನೂ ಗಿಟ್ಟುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದಾಗ್ಯೂ ಕಡುಬಡವರ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ರಿಯಾಯತಿ ತೋರಿಬಹುದೆಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಹಿಂದೆಯೇ ಒಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಕೂಡ ಸಾದರು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ಅಜ್ಞೆಯ ಕಾಪಿ, ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮೀಷನರ್ ಮತ್ತು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮಲ್ದಾರರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಇದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯ.—ಒಡ ರೈತರಿಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಇದೆಯೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ? ಈಗ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತರಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯ.—ರೈತರು ಹೋಗಿ ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಈ ವಿಚಾರ ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತಾರೋ ಅಥವಾ ರೈತರೇನಾದರೂ ಇದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಒಬ್ಬ ಲಾಯರನ್ನಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೋ? (ನಗು).

(ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪನವರು ನಕ್ಕು ಸುಮ್ಮನಾದರು.)

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ಆರ್ಡರಿನಿಂದ ಅನೇಕ ಕಡಿಡ ರೈತರಿಗೆ ತುಂಬಾ ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗಿದೆ. ತಾವು ಆರೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಮೊದಲಿದ್ದಂತೆ ಫೋಡಿ ಫೀಜನ್ನು ಹಾಕುವಂತೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಉತ್ತಮವಾಗಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ತಕ್ಕ ಕುಮ್ಮಕ್ಕುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ, ಅದನ್ನು ರೀಫಂಡ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ನಹೆ ರೂಲ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅವಕಾಶ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ಶಿವಮುದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ಹಾಗೆ ಸಹಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಅವರು ಕೂಡಲೆ ರೀಫಂಡ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆಯಲ್ಲವೇ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—I remember so.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Do not Government consider that it would not be necessary to levy phode fee of Rs. 20 irrespective of the extent of land granted under the darkhast?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—It is charged per survey number.

9-30 A.M.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—My question was, do not Government consider that it would not be necessary to levy the phode fee irrespective of the extent of land granted?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Irrespective of the expenditure, the phoding fee levied was Rs. 8 per survey number in accordance with the Government Order of 1930. That was the original order.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Will the Government examine the desirability of modifying this levy so as to be in conformity with the expenses in making this phoding?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Whatever may be the expenditure, so far as the phoding is concerned, there will be very little difference. I will consider.

Loss on a new uninsured Government car seriously damaged.

Q.—50. Sri R. K. PRASAD (Bangarpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether they are aware that a new Government Car had been put on the road in 1952 without being insured;

(*b*) whether it is not a fact that the car was seriously damaged;

(*c*) the steps they have taken against the officers who were responsible for putting the car on the road without being insured;

(*d*) the total loss incurred on this account?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes, the car was damaged in an accident.

(*c*) Suitable action has been taken against the officers responsible.

(*d*) It is not possible to state the exact loss. The car was purchased for Rs. 16,050 and was sold for Rs. 9,800. It was used for about 10 months and depreciation for this period will have to be taken into consideration if actual loss has to be calculated.

Sri R. K. PRASAD.—Will the Government take the House into confidence and tell us what action has been taken against this officer?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—The matter was gone into and even the Director of Anti-corruption was consulted in the matter. He made a recommendation that the displeasure of the Government may be communicated to the concerned Government Officers and we have accordingly done so.

Sri R. K. PRASAD.—Was not putting this Car on the road without being insured, unlawful?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It was not. In fact, the Mysore Government are insuring their cars. The Accountant-General is taking objection to that. He does not want these cars to be insured because it is extra burden on the State. Therefore, the question of legality does not arise.

Sri R. K. PRASAD.—Will the Government take action to amend the Insurance of Vehicles Act suitably?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Government cars are exempted.

Sri R. K. PRASAD.—What is the amount of depreciation allowed on the cost?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I have no idea.

Sri R. K. PRASAD.—How to calculate the loss?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Rough calculation.

Sri MULKA GOVINDA REDDY.—In whose name this car was registered?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—This is a Government car.

Sri MULKA GOVINDA REDDY.—This car must have been registered in somebody's name.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It may be the Chief Secretary.

Sri MULKA GOVINDA REDDY.—On account of the Insurance of Motor Vehicles Act, are the Government exempted from getting it insured?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That is what I say.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—The Hon'ble Chief Minister said that the Accountant-General objects to the insuring of the Government cars on the ground that it entails more expenditure to the Government .....

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That is what he has written to us.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Is it the proposition that a Government car need not be insured and in cases of accident the loss incurred would compensate the Government?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I will place the position without any reservation. We are taking precautions in insuring Government cars.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Are the Government satisfied with the Accountant-General's proposition that it is quite tenable and reasonable?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It is not possible to satisfy everybody's case. That is the difficulty with the world.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Have the Government accepted the suggestion not to insure Government cars?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—We are still insuring Government cars, but that is the advice he has given.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಭಾಕರ್.—ಆಡಿಟರ್-ಜನರಲ್‌ರವರು objection ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಆದರೆ ಇದು ಹಿಂದಿನ ಪ್ರಸಂಗವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆ objection ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Because the question was put to me whether it was legal, I placed the position before the House.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Was not the Government insuring cars at the time when this car met with an accident?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes, they were being insured.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Is it not a fact that due to the negligence of this officer the State was put to this loss?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That is why action has been taken.

Transfer of Officers.

Q.—170. Sri Y. M. CHANDRASEKHARAIAH (Kadur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) how long can Government officers be retained without transfer in a place;

(*b*) the steps they have taken in respect of officers that are remaining in the same place for a long time and whether a list of such officers has been prepared;

(*c*) whether officers who remained for a long period in the same place and afterwards were transferred to some other place where they stayed for only a short time and got themselves re-transferred to their old place have been included in that list and action has been taken in their case;

(*d*) whether the rule relating to transfer of officers from Maidan to Malnad and *vice versa* has beenn enforced strictly;

(*e*) the number of officers that have served in Malnad fo rthe prescribed period and have not been transferred to Maidan;

(*f*) whether such persons will be immediately transferred?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) Generally for three years.

(*b*) These are taken as and when necessary in the exigencies of service and it is not considered necessary to maintain any standing list of such officers, as the information can be readily obtained from the Civil List.

(*c*) Does not arise in view of the reply to clause (*b*) above.

(*d*) Yes, to the extent possible subject to such relaxations, if any, as may be found necessary in the exigencies of the Public Service.

(*e*) The date from which the particulars are to be furnished and the categories of officers to which these should relate and the departments in respect of which the information is required may please be specified.

(*f*) Does not arise in view of the reply to clause (*e*).

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ.—ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಒಂದೇ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ವರ್ಷ ಇರಬಹುದೆಂದಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ "ಸುಮಾರು ಮೂರು ವರ್ಷ" ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಆರ್ಡರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹೀಗೆಯೇ "ಸುಮಾರು ಮೂರು ವರ್ಷ" ಎಂತಲೇ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ನಿಮ್ಮ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ಏನೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಬಹುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ. ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಹುಕ್ಕುಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ವರ್ಷದೊಳಗೆ ವರ್ಗಮಾಡ ದೇಕೆಂದಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲೇ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ.—'ಸುಮಾರು' ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—'ಸುಮಾರು' ಎಂದರೆ 15 ದಿವಸ—ಒಂದು ತಿಂಗಳು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಹೆಚ್ಚುಕಡಮೆ ಆಗಬಹುದು ಎಂದು. ಹಾಗಿಲ್ಲದೆ ನಿಖರವಾಗಿ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಹಾಕಿ 24 ಘಂಟೆಯೊಳಗಾಗಿ ವರ್ಗಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗು ವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ.—ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯೂ ಮಲೆನಾಡು ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಮಾಡಿಯೇ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಹುಕ್ಕುಮಿನಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ಇದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ.—ಅದೇ ರೀತಿ ಮೈಸೂರು ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನವರ್ಗದವರತಕ್ಕ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳೂ ಮಲೆನಾಡು ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಮಾಡಿ ದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—He is particular about (*e*).